

REVIEW

We would like you to fill in the form and send the review back within two weeks of receipt thereof. Reviewing process is anonymous, the name of the author will be filled in by the editorial board. Your assessment is internal, only the B part can be also given to the author. Should you be aware that there exists a text of similar, respectively identical contents, please advise the editorial board. Thank you for your cooperation.

Part A

The title of the contribution:

Author (filled in by the editorial board):

Reviewer:

Reviewer contact:

ASSESSMENT:

- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Language and stylistics standard: | <input type="radio"/> very good | <input type="radio"/> sufficient | <input type="radio"/> insufficient |
| Work with literature and sources: | <input type="radio"/> very good | <input type="radio"/> sufficient | <input type="radio"/> insufficient |
| Chosen methods of work: | <input type="radio"/> innovative | <input type="radio"/> routine | <input type="radio"/> unsuitable |
| Formal standard (structure of the paper): | <input type="radio"/> suitable | <input type="radio"/> dubious | <input type="radio"/> unsuitable |
| General impression, scientific contribution: | <input type="radio"/> excellent | <input type="radio"/> good | <input type="radio"/> bad |

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- accept accept after minor revision major revision required refuse

I would like to see the revised manuscript: yes no

I would like to keep my anonymity from the author(s): yes no

Notes for editorial board only:

Signature of the reviewer:

Date:

Part B

Wording of the review, assessment, remarks for the author (not limited). Remarks are preferred in the form of inputs in change tracking mode directly into the author's text.

Part C

Further commentary for the editorial board, notes on the periodical, recommendations of other reviewers and or authors, etc.: